# **Carbon dioxide removal and soil reclamation using principles of enhanced rock** weathering in an acidic Indian soil

Abir Dey, Chandra Saha<sup>1</sup>, Debashis Mandal, Binoy Sarkar<sup>2</sup>, MC Meena, BB Basak, Debarup Das, Pradarshak Sen<sup>1</sup>, and Bijan Kumar Mondal

Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India <sup>1</sup>Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India <sup>2</sup>University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia





#### Introduction

□ Enhanced rock weathering (ERW) is one of the potential negative emission technologies (NET) that sequester atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> through the dissolution of silicate minerals. □ It is also capable of ameliorating soil acidity through the production of carbonates and bicarbonates (Dietzen et al. 2018).  $\Box$  CaSiO<sub>3</sub>/ MgSiO<sub>3</sub> + 2CO<sub>2</sub> + 3H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  Ca<sup>2+</sup>/ Mg<sup>2+</sup> + 2HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> + Enhanced Rock Weathering  $H_4SiO_4$  (Beerling *et al.* 2020). Metal Carbonate Long term sustainability

## Fig. 4. The cumulative carbon emissions from the soil treated with lime, organic matter and the rock mineral powder at 25 °C. The error bar represents LSD (p<0.05



## Objectives

- To assess the behavior of the basalt rock powder (BRD) in acid-aqueous solutions of different pH
- To evaluate the carbon emission in an acidic Inceptisol as impacted by the addition of BRD

At the end of the incubation, the Ct followed the decreasing order: OM + RMP2.5 = OM > OM + RMP5 > OM + RMP10 = OM+ RMP25 > Lime > RMP2.5 = RMP5 = RMP10 = RMP25 = control.

#### To study the effect of BRD on soil pH

# Methodology

#### **Table 1.** Details of the treatment used to assess the behavior of BRD in acid-aqueous solutions

Treatment abbreviation	Treatment description
HCl <sub>4</sub>	BRD + HCl solution, pH adjusted to 4.0
HCl <sub>5</sub>	BRD + HCl solution, pH adjusted to 5.0
HCl <sub>6</sub>	BRD + HCl solution, pH adjusted to 6.0
$AA_4$	BRD + Acetic acid solution, pH adjusted to 4.0
$AA_5$	BRD + Acetic acid solution, pH adjusted to 5.0
$AA_6$	BRD + Acetic acid solution, pH adjusted to 6.0

#### Table 2. Details of the treatment used for the incubation to study carbon emission from soil

Treatment abbreviation	Treatment description
Control	Soil
Lime	Soil + Lime (as per lime requirement of soil)
OM	Soil + Green manure + FYM
$OM + BRD_{2.5}$	Soil + Green manure + FYM + BRD@ 2.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>
$OM + BRD_5$	Soil + Green manure + FYM + BRD@ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>
$OM + BRD_{10}$	Soil + Green manure + FYM + BRD@ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>
$OM + BRD_{25}$	Soil + Green manure + FYM + BRD@ 25 t ha <sup>-1</sup>
BRD <sub>2.5</sub>	Soil + BRD@ 2.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>
BRD <sub>5</sub>	Soil + BRD@ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>
<b>BRD</b> <sub>10</sub>	Soil + BRD@ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>
BRD <sub>25</sub>	Soil + BRD@ 25 t ha <sup>-1</sup>

**Experimental design:** Completely

### Fig. 5. The net cumulative carbon emission from the soil treated with lime, organic matter, and BRD. Data represent the mean of 3 replicates and error bar represent LSD at 5% level of significance. Different letters denote significant differences at $p \le 0.05$



Net cumulative C emission on the 128<sup>th</sup> day from the inputs showed that the net negative emission was highest in the treatments  $OM + BRD_{25}$ , followed by  $OM + BRD_{10}$ , and  $OM + BRD_5$  whereas lime and  $OM + BRD_{25}$  showed net positive emission

Fig. 6. Moving average graph for calculation of the best possible dose of BRD for carbon removal

Fig. 7. Second-order polynomial graph for calculation of the best possible dose of BRD





The net C emission was plotted against the BRD doses, and a moving average graph was generated. The break-even point, marked by a change in slope, was identified at 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. To determine suitable BRD doses for farmers' fields, the best-fitted trendline was a second-order polynomial function with an intercept of zero.



## **Results and discussion**



Fig. 2. Change in the pH of acid-aqueous Solutions (kept at different initial pH levels) due to BRD addition. Data represent the mean of 3 replicates. Error bar represents the standard error of estimation

Fig. 3. Cumulative release of calcium and magnesium from the BRD in acid-aqueous solutions of different pH. Data represent the mean of 3 replicates. Error bar represents standard error of estimation



- randomized design
- **Incubation experiment:** For 128 days at 25 °C
- **Observation taken:** 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 32<sup>nd</sup>, 64<sup>th</sup> and 128<sup>th</sup> day of incubation Soil used: sandy clay in texture with very low pH (4.01) from Assam, India

The slopes that were found by differentiation were statistically analyzed, and the lowest RMP dose corresponding to the highest negative slope was identified at 8 t ha-1 at 25 °C as the optimum RMP dose for the field trial

Fig. 8. Periodic changes of soil acidity under the application of lime, organic matter, and BRD. Data represent the mean of 3 replicates. Error bar represents the standard error of estimation



Combined application of BRD and organics ensures a sudden increase in pH of extremely acidic soil within a fortnight after their application

# Conclusion

- The BRD has the potential to capture atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Incorporating organic materials enhances BRD dissolution, thereby improving its CO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency.
- □ The application rate of BRDs might be recommended @ 8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with organics @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with a carbon removal potential of 356 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which, if extrapolated for potential use in pan-India acid soils, results in a carbon removal potential of 0.32 Gt.

#### Fig. 1. Relative proportion of silicate minerals in BRD

The combination of BRDs and organics effectively raises soil pH in highly acidic soils within two weeks. This prevents seed injury and germination delays, positioning it as a carbon-neutral solution for reclaiming acidic soils in India.

#### References

Beerling, D. J., Kantzas, E. P., Lomas, M. R., Wade, P., Eufrasio, R. M., Renforth, P. and Banwart, S. A. (2020) Potential for large-scale CO<sub>2</sub> removal via enhanced rock weathering with croplands. *Nature*, **583**, 242-248. Dietzen, C., Harrison, R. and Michelsen-Correa, S. (2018) Effectiveness of enhanced mineral weathering as a carbon sequestration tool and alternative to agricultural lime: an incubation experiment. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas *Control* **74**, 251-258

# Use of seaweed extract-based biostimulants for enhancing nutrient use efficiency and grain quality in an Indian acid soil



ABIR DEY, BIJAN KUMAR MONDAL, DEBARUP DAS, MC MEENA and DEEPAK<sup>1</sup>

Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India <sup>1</sup>Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India **Corresponding author e-mail id: abirdey21@gmail.com** 



# Introduction

**Results and discussion** 

Rising cost of synthetic fertilizers and environmental concerns over their excessive and unbalanced use drive a global shift towards organic regenerative farming.

In recent times, seaweed extracts have emerged as promising bio-stimulant for crop growth and nutrition.

Integration of seaweed extract-based products (SWEP) with synthetic fertilizers is increasingly vital and being considered as nature positive agricultural practice.

However, their effects on soil physico-chemical properties and plant growth remain poorly understood.

The present study was carried out using a highly acidic soil (pH 3.87) to evaluate the impact of seaweed extract-based *Sagarika* Z++ granules (SG) and *Sagarika* liquid (SL) developed by the Indian Farmers Fertilizer Cooperative (IFFCO), on physio-biochemical activity, nutrient use efficiency and grain quality of wheat grown in a greenhouse pot experiment



Table 3 Effect of seaweed extract-based biostimulants on different biochemical parameters in wheat flag leaf at ear emergence stage. Data represent the mean of 4 replicates. Within a column, different letters denote significant difference at  $p \le 0.05$ 

Treatment	Protein	Non-e	nzymatic anti-	oxidant	Enzymatic anti-oxidant			
	content	Prolin	Phenol	Anti-oxidant	SOD	Peroxidase	Catalase (mN	
	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	content	content	activity	$(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{J} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{W})$	(mM TG	of H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	
		( mg g <sup>-1</sup> FW $)$	( mg g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	(%)		formed min <sup>-1</sup>	decomposed	
						<b>g</b> - <sup>1</sup> <b>FW</b> )	<b>min<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> FW</b> )	
Control	22.5f	0.557a	1.304a	40.2a	1.507e	52.8f	0.031g	
100% NPK	23.2ef	0.237e	0.497d	16.6d	1.9ab	76.5d	0.278b	
75% NPK	22.9ef	0.382c	0.963b	29.9b	1.623de	62.267e	0.115e	
<b>75% NPK + SG(5.33)</b>	24.5cd	0.339d	0.47d	23.8c	1.72cd	91.379c	0.11e	
<b>75% NPK + SG(10.67)</b>	23.8de	0.417b	0.477d	17.4d	1.656cd	90.333c	0.107e	
75% NPK + SG(21.33)	25.5bc	0.343d	0.459d	19.7cd	1.883ab	105.2ab	0.058f	
75% NPK + SL(2.5)	25.3bc	0.249e	0.463d	17.2d	1.77bc	108.767a	0.145d	
75% NPK + SL(5)	24.7bcd	0.168f	0.739c	20.0cd	1.872b	103.133b	0.213c	
<b>75% NPK + SL(7.5)</b>	25.8ab	0.15f	0.752c	18.83d	1.78bc	101.367b	0.326a	
75%NPK+SG(10.67) +SL(5)	26.8a	0.115g	0.459d	19.4d	2.013a	105.833ab	0.344a	
<b>LSD</b> ( $p = 0.05$ )	1.19	0.018	0.071	4.29	0.137	5.519	0.024	

# **Objectives**

- ✓ To evaluate the impact of seaweed extract-based products on biochemical activities of wheat grown under greenhouse pot experiment
- ✓ To study the effects of seaweed extract-based products on yield and grain quality of wheat
- To examine the effects of seaweed extract-based products on nutrient use efficiency of wheat grown in an acid soil
- Nutrient deficiency is a major factor inducing abiotic stress, involving hyperosmotic and ionic imbalances leading to excess production of reactive oxygen species in control and 75%NPK.
- The alleviated levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants *i.e.*, proline and phenol content in the nutrient-deficient treatments *i.e.*, control and 75% NPK indicate towards implementation of nutrient stress.
- Combined application of granular and liquid SWEP in the treatment 75% NPK + SG(10.67) + SL(5) resulted in the maximum production of SOD, peroxidase, and catalase hinting at greater alleviation of oxidative stress
- Synergistic impact of both liquid and granular SWEPs on growth promotion and oxidative stress neutralization might have resulted in the maximum scavenging of ROS under the 75% NPK + SG(10.67) + SL(5)

Table 4 Effect of seaweed extract-based biostimulants on yield and grain quality parameters of wheat. Data represent the mean of 4 replicates. Within a column, different letters denote significant difference at  $p \le 0.05$ 

	Methodology	Treatments	Grain yield (g/pot)	Straw yield (g/pot)	Harvest Index (%)	<b>Total starch content</b> (%)	Amylose content (%)	Crude protein content (%)
Table 1 Details of treatment	s used for the greenhouse pot study	Control	3.33e	5.53e	<b>37.6</b> a	46.87e	10.57f	6.33d
		100% NPK	6.2ab	10.4bc	37.4a	64.367bc	25.96abc	9.24a
Treatment codes	Treatment description	75% NPK	5.47d	9. 83d	35.7b	60.97d	21.67e	8.14c
Control	Soil	75% NPK + SG(5.33)	5.63cd	10.1cd	35.8b	62.63cd	23.433cde	8.62b
100% NPK	100% NPK	75% NPK + SG(10.67)	5.73bcd	10.6abc	35.1b	62.033cd	22.7de	8.55bc
		75% NPK + SG(21.33)	5.67cd	10.67ab	34.7b	63.7bc	22.97cde	8.72b
75% NPK	75% NPK	75% NPK + SL(2.5)	5.8bcd	10.47abc	35.6b	66.83a	22.97cde	8.55bc
75% NPK + SG(5.33)	75% NPK + seaweed extract-based granular product @ 5.33 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	75% NPK + SL(5)	5.87bcd	10.53abc	35.8b	67.1a	24.87bcd	8.62b
75% NPK + SG(10.67)	75% NPK + seaweed extract-based granular product @ 10.67 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	75% NPK + SL(7.5)	6.03bc	10.63ab	36.2ab	65.97ab	26.97ab	8.46bc
75% NPK + SG(21.33)	75% NPK + seaweed extract-based granular product @ 21.33 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	75%NPK+SG(10.67) +SL(5)	6.63a	10.97a	37.7a	<b>68.1</b> a	28.82a	8.85ab
75% NPK + SL(2.5)	75% NPK + seaweed extract-based liquid product spray @ 2.5 ml L <sup>-1</sup> of water	LSD $(p = 0.05)$	0.475	0.53	1.51	2.37	3.15	0.46
75% NPK + SL(5)	75% NPK + seaweed extract-based liquid product spray @ 5 ml L <sup>-1</sup> of water	Neutralization of nutrient	stress under '	75% NPK + S	G(10.67) + SL	<b>L(5) resulted in similar</b>	growth and productiv	ity in the former
75% NPK + SL(7.5)	75% NPK + seaweed extract-based liquid product spray @ 7.5 ml L <sup>-1</sup> of water	compared with the 100% N	i is applicatio	11.				

# 75% NPK + SG(10.67) +75% NPK + seaweed extract-based granular product @ 10.67 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil + seaweed extract-<br/>based liquid product spray @ 5 ml L<sup>-1</sup> of water



Experimental design: Completely Randomized design, No. of replications: 5, Crop: Wheat, Variety: HD 3086

#### Table 2 Physico-chemical properties of seaweed extract-based products

ParametersSeaweed extract-based granular productSeaweed extract-based liquid productpH8.586.95Electrical conductivity (dS m')0.0820.96Total dissolved solids (%)-28.6Sotubility in 0.01M CaCl <sub>2</sub> (SG: solvent = 1:100, w/v)16%28%-After 24 hours28%-Beyond 24 hoursGrain size distribution0.4%0.4%-Diameter >2 mm94.8%-Diameter <1 mm0.8%-Carbon content (%)5.372.1N content (%)0.541.3N content (%)0.541.3S content (%)0.541.3S content (%)6.51.3Carbon content (%)6.51.3S content (%)6.531.3Ca content (%)6.531.38Ca content (%)6.531.38Ca content (%)6.531.38Ca content (%)6.531.34Ca content (%)6.531.34A uxin42.3341.7Gibberellin39.511.6Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )24.3341.7Gibberellin38.8223.3Giptic betaine21.423.3			
pH         8.58         6.95           Electrical conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )         0.082         0.96           Total dissolved solids (%)         28.6         28.6           Solubility in 0.01M CaCl;         28.6         28.6           (SG: solvent = 1:100, w/v)         16%         28.6           In 1 hour         28%         28%           Seyond 24 hours         28%         28%           Beyond 24 hours         28%         28%           Grain size distribution         94.8%         0.4%           Diameter >2 mm         94.8%         0.4%           Diameter <1 mm	Parameters	Seaweed extract-based granular product	Seaweed extract-based liquid product
Electrical conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )         0.082         0.96           Total dissolved solids (%)         -         28.6           Solubility in 0.01M CaCl <sub>2</sub> -         28.6           (GG: solvent = 1:100, w/v)         16%         -         -           In 1 hour         28%         -         -           After 24 hours         28%         -         -           Beyond 24 hours         28%         -         -           Diameter >2 mm         94.8%         -         -           Diameter 1-2 mm         0.4%         0.8%         -         -           Diameter <1 mm	рН	8.58	6.95
Total dissolved solids (%)       28.6         Solubility in 0.01M CaCl2	Electrical conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	0.082	0.96
Solubility in 0.01M CaCl2Interminant Cacche Cac	Total dissolved solids (%)		28.6
(SG: solvent = 1:100, w/v)         16%           In 1 hour         16%           28%         28%           Beyond 24 hours         28%           Grain size distribution         94.8%           Diameter >2 mm         94.8%           Diameter >2 mm         0.4%           Diameter -1 mm         0.8%           Carbon content (%)         5.37           N content (%)         0.49           0.028         0.006           K content (%)         0.54           S content (%)         6.5           S content (mg kg <sup>1</sup> )         1270           S co	Solubility in 0.01M CaCl <sub>2</sub>		_
In 1 hour16% 28% 28%16%After 24 hours28%Beyond 24 hours28%Grain size distribution94.8%Diameter >2 mm94.8%Diameter >2 mm0.4%0.af%0.8%Diameter <1 mm	(SG: solvent = 1:100, w/v)		
Artodi       28%         After 24 hours       28%         Beyond 24 hours       28%         Grain size distribution       94.8%         Diameter >2 mm       94.8%         0.4%       0.4%         0.ameter 1-2 mm       0.8%         Diameter <1 mm	In 1 hour	16%	
After 24 hours         28%		28%	
Beyond 24 hours         2000           Grain size distribution         -           Diameter >2 mm $94.8\%$ Diameter 1-2 mm $0.4\%$ Diameter 1-2 mm $0.8\%$ Diameter <1 mm	After 24 hours	28%	
Grain size distribution         94.8%	Beyond 24 hours		
Diameter >2 mm         94.8%           Diameter 1-2 mm         0.4%           Diameter <1 mm	Grain size distribution		_
Diameter 1-2 mm         0.4%           Diameter <1 mm	Diameter >2 mm	94.8%	
Diameter 1-2 mm         0.8%           Diameter <1 mm	Diamatar 1.2	0.4%	
Diameter <1 mm         International           Carbon content (%)         5.37         2.1           N content (%)         0.49         0.25           P content (%)         0.028         0.006           K content (%)         0.54         14.2           S content (%)         6.5         1.3           Ca content (%)         6.5         0.16           Mg content (%)         6.7         0.025           Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         1270         138           Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         11400         3.58           Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         6.53         13.8           Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         24.3         1.84           Auxin         42.3         341.7           Gibberellin         39.5         611.6           Cytokinin         28.8         223.3           Glycine betaine         21.4         94.5	Diameter 1-2 mm	0.8%	
Carbon content (%)         5.37         2.1           N content (%)         0.49         0.25           P content (%)         0.028         0.006           K content (%)         0.54         14.2           S content (%)         6.5         1.3           Ca content (%)         26.4         0.16           Mg content (%)         6.7         0.025           Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         1270         138           Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         11400         3.58           Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         24.3         13.8           Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         24.3         1.84           Auxin         42.3         341.7           Gibberellin         39.5         611.6           Cytokinin         28.8         223.3           Glycine betaine         21.4         94.5	Diameter <1 mm		
N content (%)         0.49         0.25           P content (%)         0.028         0.006           K content (%)         0.54         14.2           S content (%)         6.5         1.3           Ca content (%)         26.4         0.16           Mg content (%)         6.7         0.025           Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         1270         138           Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         11400         3.58           Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         6.53         13.8           Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         24.3         1.84           Auxin         42.3         341.7           Gibberellin         39.5         611.6           Cytokinin         28.8         223.3           Glycine betaine         21.4         94.5	Carbon content (%)	5.37	2.1
P content (%)         0.028         0.006           K content (%)         0.54         14.2           S content (%)         6.5         1.3           Ca content (%)         26.4         0.16           Mg content (%)         6.7         0.025           Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         1270         138           Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         11400         3.58           Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         6.53         1.3           Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         24.3         1.84           Auxin         42.3         341.7           Gibberellin         39.5         611.6           Cytokinin         28.8         223.3           Glycine betaine         21.4         94.5	N content (%)	0.49	0.25
K content (%)       0.54       14.2         S content (%)       6.5       1.3         Ca content (%)       26.4       0.16         Mg content (%)       6.7       0.025         Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       1270       138         Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       11400       3.58         Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       6.53       13.8         Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       24.3       1.84         Auxin       42.3       341.7         Gibberellin       39.5       611.6         Cytokinin       28.8       223.3         Glycine betaine       21.4       94.5	P content (%)	0.028	0.006
S content (%)         6.5         1.3           Ca content (%)         26.4         0.16           Mg content (%)         6.7         0.025           Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         1270         138           Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         11400         3.58           Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         6.53         13.8           Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         24.3         1.84           Auxin         42.3         341.7           Gibberellin         39.5         611.6           Cytokinin         28.8         223.3           Glycine betaine         21.4         94.5	K content (%)	0.54	14.2
Ca content (%)       26.4       0.16         Mg content (%)       6.7       0.025         Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       1270       138         Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       11400       3.58         Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       6.53       13.8         Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       24.3       1.84         Auxin       42.3       341.7         Gibberellin       39.5       611.6         Cytokinin       28.8       223.3         Glycine betaine       21.4       94.5	S content (%)	6.5	1.3
Mg content (%)         6.7         0.025           Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         1270         138           Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         11400         3.58           Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         6.53         13.8           Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )         24.3         1.84           Auxin         42.3         341.7           Gibberellin         39.5         611.6           Cytokinin         28.8         223.3           Glycine betaine         21.4         94.5	Ca content (%)	26.4	0.16
Fe content (mg kg-1)1270138Zn content (mg kg-1)114003.58Mn content (mg kg-1)6.5313.8Cu content (mg kg-1)24.31.84Auxin42.3341.7Gibberellin39.5611.6Cytokinin28.8223.3Glycine betaine21.494.5	Mg content (%)	6.7	0.025
Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       11400       3.58         Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       6.53       13.8         Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       24.3       1.84         Auxin       42.3       341.7         Gibberellin       39.5       611.6         Cytokinin       28.8       223.3         Glycine betaine       21.4       94.5	Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1270	138
Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       6.53       13.8         Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )       24.3       1.84         Auxin       42.3       341.7         Gibberellin       39.5       611.6         Cytokinin       28.8       223.3         Glycine betaine       21.4       94.5	Zn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	11400	3.58
Cu content (mg kg-1)24.31.84Auxin42.3341.7Gibberellin39.5611.6Cytokinin28.8223.3Glycine betaine21.494.5	Mn content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	6.53	13.8
Auxin42.3341.7Gibberellin39.561.6Cytokinin28.8223.3Glycine betaine21.494.5	Cu content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	24.3	1.84
Gibberellin39.5611.6Cytokinin28.8223.3Glycine betaine21.494.5	Auxin	42.3	341.7
Cytokinin       28.8       223.3         Glycine betaine       21.4       94.5	Gibberellin	39.5	611.6
Glycine betaine21.494.5	Cytokinin	28.8	223.3
	Glycine betaine	21.4	94.5

- The improvements in photosynthetic activity, delayed senescence, and higher enzymatic activities upon foliar application of SWEP resulted in greater formation of carbohydrates. The phytohormones in SWEP promoted mobilization of these photosynthates to the reproductive parts, and enhancing the total starch content of wheat grains, compared with other treatments.
- On the other hand, soil application of SWEP enhances root absorption capacity, and increases the bio-availability of soil nutrients.
- The combined foliar and soil application of SWEP in 75% NPK + SG(10.67) + SL(5) synergistically affected the grain carbohydrate content, registering the highest values of total starch, and amylose content.
- The bio-stimulatory activities of SWEP, along with the efficient use and uptake of N and S promoted the formation of crude protein.



Figure 1: Effect of seaweed extract-based biostimulants on utilization efficiency. Data represent the mean of 4 replicates and error bar represent LSD at 5% level of significance. Different letters denote significant difference at  $p \le 0.05$ .

- Application of SWEPs under suboptimal fertilization in an extremely acidic soils resulted in improved soil physicochemical characteristics viz. soil pH, SOC and Available N, P and K and better microbial colonization in the rhizosphere region coupled with higher activities of nutrient cycling enzymes
- As a result of comparable nutrient uptake under 75% NPK + SG(10.67) + SL(5) and 100% NPK, and a lower nutrient application under the former, a higher use efficiency *i.e.*, UE of N and P was registered under the 75% NPK +

#### SG(10.67) + SL(5) compared with the 100% NPK

# Conclusions

□Spraying of seaweed extract based liquid biostimulant improved biochemical activities and grain quality of wheat, while application of

granular product showed significant positive effects on physico-chemical and microbiological properties of soil.

Combined application of seaweed extract-based granules @ 10.67 mg/kg soil (equivalent to 25 kg/ha) and 2 sprays of seaweed extract-

based liquid biostimulant @ 5 ml/L along with 75% NPK fertilization, improved N and P use efficiencies without any apparent signs of

nutrient mining and holds the potential to curtail fertilizer NPK application by 25%.

# **Future directions**

Future research needs to focus on multi-locational field-level studies exploring different dosages of SWEP on crop performance, soil

nutrient dynamics and rhizosphere ecosystem under varied settings of climate, soil, and plant types, across the Country

Agriculture and Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada Agroalimentaire Canada

# Yield projections and expansion opportunities under future climate change for seven major crops grown in Canada using a model ensemble

Ward Smith<sup>1</sup>, Brian Grant<sup>1</sup> Qi Jing<sup>1</sup>, Budong Qian<sup>1</sup>, Guillaume Jégo<sup>2</sup> and Marianne Crépeau<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Science and Technology Branch, Ottawa Research and Development Centre, Ottawa, Canada <sup>2</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Science and Technology Branch, Quebec Research and Development Centre, Quebec City, Canada

# Introduction

As global temperatures rise, understanding and managing the impacts of climate change on crop production in Canada is key towards ensuring the long-term sustainability of the sector. A warming climate, particularly in regions like Canada, has already led to increases in available crop heat units and longer frost-free periods, however in the future, some agricultural regions may

# **Results & Discussion**





experience higher incidences of drought, increased crop heat stresses and excessive water exposure.

# **Materials & Methods**

The DSSAT, STICS and DNDC models estimated changes in yields for seven major crop types (maize, wheat, canola, soybean, barley, potato, and forage alfalfa) across Canada for the period 1981 to 2100, considering 51 regions where crops are currently grown and possible areas of expansion. The models were previously verified for simulating crop growth and development within several AgMIP initiatives and using local Canadian sites and cultivars. Simulations were performed using climate scenarios based on five GCMs under three shared socioeconomic pathways (SSP1-2.6, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5) from phase 3b of the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project. Simulated planting date was adjusted each year based on temperature and soil moisture status. Results are presented for the Baseline (2006-2015), 2030 mean (2026-2035), 2050 mean (2046-2055) and 2070 mean (2066-2075).



Figure 2.Projected rainfed soybean yields for each model and the<br/>model ensemble under SSP370



# **Figure 5.** Projected rainfed yields and coefficient of variation for yields across Canada for wheat, corn and alfalfa using DSSAT, STICS and DNDC under SSP370

Future climate conditions, characterized by warmer temperatures, longer growing seasons, and enhanced  $CO_2$  levels, are projected to generally increase crop yields across most regions in Canada. Wheat, canola, alfalfa, and barley yields could rise by 5–15% under these conditions. While rainfed agriculture in the semi-arid prairies will continue to face constraints, the three crop models coupled with global climate model projections from the IPCC's 6th assessment indicate no significant increase in yield volatility across the country. The combined effects of higher  $CO_2$  levels and reduced cold damage are expected to outweigh the negative impacts of increased heat stress and greater potential evapotranspiration. Among the investigated crops, soybean, alfalfa, and maize are projected to benefit the most in humid and subhumid regions, with yield increases of approximately 20–30% by the 2050s in areas where they are currently grown. By the 2030s, some crops, including soybeans, maize, barley, wheat, and canola, may expand northward. However, specific

**Figure 1.** Canadian Regional Agricultural Model (CRAM) economic model regions simulated using DSSAT, STICS and DNDC for 5 GCMs and 3 climate scenarios. The green areas indicate current agricultural production.

Climate projections from the five GCMs showed greater crop heat units (CHU) across Canada, longer frost-free periods, resulting in longer growing seasons. CHU increased drastically across the country which may allow for later maturing cultivars and more forage harvests each year. Under the SSP370 scenario the frost-free period increased from 128 to 163 days in the semi-arid prairies and from 149 to 190 days in southern Ontario and Quebec by 2070. Precipitation is generally projected to remain relatively stable across Canada with potentially greater soil moisture deficit in the semi-arid prairies, which may be offset through  $CO_2$  fertilization which decreases stomatal conductance. Annual crop failure due to cold stress and frost damage is projected to decrease for all crops.

Table 1 Regional average changes in growing season precipitation, crop heat units, frost free days and crop failure rate under SSP370

 Image: Second control of the second

**Figure 3.** Projected rainfed soybean yields for each GCM under SSP370

When evaluated independently, the three crop models consistently projected an increase in soybean yields across Canada, with the most gain occurring by 2050. A similar trend was observed for other crop types. Likewise, four of the five GCM yield projections agreed, whereas UKESM1 indicated yield increases only until 2050.



growth traits, such as photoperiod requirements, could limit their expansion potential.



	Time	Humid East	Humid East >	Semi-arid	Sub-humid	Sub-humid
	period	< 47º lat	47° lat	West	West < 51 <sup>°</sup>	West > 51 <sup>°</sup>
<b>Growing season</b>	Baseline	479	478	274	346	346
precipitation	2030	472 (-1.4%)	490 (+2.4%)	272 (-0.7%)	334 (-3.6%)	351 (+1.6%)
(mm)	2050	473 (-1.3%)	491 (+2.7%)	277 (+0.8%)	340 (-2.0%)	355 (+2.5%)
	2070	482 (+0.7%)	498 (+4.2%)	264 (-3.6%)	331 (-4.3%)	345 (-0.3%)
Crop heat units	Baseline	2549	1709	2200	2205	1304
	2030	3154 (+24%)	2264 (+32%)	2751 (+25%)	2823 (+28%)	1899 (+46%)
	2050	3520 (+38%)	2608 (+53%)	3069 (+39%)	3145 (+43%)	2277 (+75%)
	2070	3874 (+52%)	2956 (+73%)	3369 (+53%)	3474 (+58%)	2668 (+105%)
Frost free days	Baseline	149	112	128	139	119
	2030	169 (+12%)	131 (+17)	142 (+11)	155 (+11)	138 (+16)
	2050	178 (+20%)	143 (+28)	152 (+19)	165 (+19)	150 (+26)
	2070	190 (+28%)	155 (+39)	163 (+27)	177 (+28)	163 (+37)
Annual failure percentage (e.g.,	Baseline	3	16	54	11	48
	2030	0	4	45	9	25
soybeans)	2050	0	1	39	8	18
	2070	1	0	39	9	15

**Figure 4** Potential and rainfed soybean yields within CRAM regions

										T MILLING	manna		Sub nunna		
East	East	West	West	West	East	East	West	West	West	East	East	West	West	West	
< 47º lat	> 47º lat		< 51º lat	> 51º lat	< 47º lat	> 47º lat		< 51º lat	> 51º lat	< 47º lat	> 47º lat		< 51º lat	> 51º lat	

**Figure 6.** Projected yields within five regions for seven crop types across Canada

# Conclusions

A future warmer climate with longer growing seasons is expected to increase yields for major crops across much of Canada. Crop water stress in the semi-arid prairies will likely continue to constrain yields, though overall yield volatility is projected to remain stable. Some crops may expand northward into regions where they are not currently grown, but this expansion may be restricted to early-maturity cultivars and by soil quality. While changes in abiotic stresses indicate future climate change may enhance agricultural production, these benefits must be weighed against potential challenges such as extreme weather events, emerging diseases and pests, and broader environmental and economic consequences, factors that were not considered in this study.

(kg

Yield



March 31-April 4, 2025 CIMMYT, El Batan, Mexico





Agriculture et Agroalimentaire Canada

# Advancing the Denitrification and Decomposition Model for Simulating Carbon Decomposition and Carbon dioxide Emissions from Biosolids and Manure Amended Cropland

Ruth Sitienei<sup>a,b</sup>, Zhiming Qi<sup>a\*</sup>, Brian Grant<sup>b</sup>, Okenna Obi-Njoku<sup>c</sup>, Andrew Vanderzaag<sup>b</sup>, Michael Yongha Boh<sup>a</sup>, O. Grant Clark<sup>a</sup>, Gordon Price<sup>d</sup>, Chandra Madramootoo<sup>a</sup>, Tiequan Zhang<sup>e</sup>, and Ward Smith<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Bioresource Engineering, McGill University, 21111 Lakeshore Road, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada, H9X 3V9 <sup>b</sup>Ottawa Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 960 Carling Ave, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0C6, Canada <sup>c</sup>Pollutant Inventories and Reporting Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, 351 Boulevard Saint-Joseph, Gatineau, Quebec J8Y 3Z5, Canada. <sup>d</sup>Department of Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Dalhousie University, PO Box 550, Truro B2N 5E3, NS, Canada <sup>e</sup>Harrow Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2585 County Road 20 Harrow, ON NOR 1G0 Canada

# Introduction

- Organic amendments including municipal biosolids, composts, digestates and land-applied manure are increasingly utilized as sustainable options for nutrient and resource reuse.
- Land-applied manures and biosolids involve complex interdependent biogeochemical processes.

# **Results & Discussion**



# **Crop yields**



 DNDCv.CAN previously showed deficiencies in simulating soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from applied municipal biosolids and solid applied cattle manures.





Figure 2. Comparison of DNDC simulated and measured soil temperature in Montreal & Truro

# **Daily carbon dioxide emissions**



Figure 3. Comparison of DNDC modeled and measured stover yield (dry basis) of silage corn across 2017-2019 at Truro, NS.



Figure 4. DNDC performance in simulating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from biosolids at Truro, Nova Scotia, 2017

Solid cattle manure (SCM) - Default (d 0.61) - Improved (d 0.7) + Observed

Figure 5. DNDC performance in simulating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from biosolids at Montreal, Quebec, 2019

# **Key Findings**

 DNDCv.CAN was able to simulate the daily trends in soil temperature and soil water across the three sites.

(a) Locations of the three field experiments in Truro and Montreal from Google Maps; (b) Bio-Environmental Engineering Complex in Bible Hill, Truro, NS, Canada, Emile Lods Agronomy Research Centre; (c) Montreal, QC, Canada; (d) Eugene F. Whelan Research Farm near South Woodslee, Ontario, Canada





Figure 6. DNDC performance in simulating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from biosolids at Harrow, Ontario, 2012 - 2015

For <i>d</i> -index:	
≥ 0.9	Excellent
0.8 - 0.9	Good
0.7 – 0.8	Fair
≤ 0.7	Poor

# Table 1: Statistical performance of models for simulating daily CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

		Calibration (Surface spread treatments)							Validation (Soil incorporated treatments) + Control				
Location	Treatment	ARE%		RMSE (kg	; C ha <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	d		Al	RE%	RMSE (kg	C ha <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	đ	
		Default	Revised	Default	Revised	Default	Revised	Default	Revised	Default	Revised	Default	Revise
	Control							-46.36	-11.07	54.8	28.87	0.67	0.8
0	Urea	-41.93	-10.94	42.9	31.37	0.71	0.84	-15.43	-5.37	28.78	25.68	0.86	0.8
Trur	Digested biosolids	-15.92	1.62	32.2	19.99	0.88	0.93	-13.31	2.73	30.87	23.28	0.88	0.9
	Alkalized biosolids	20.54	-2.17	35.59	24.25	0.74	0.87	21.21	5.61	48.63	29.52	0.68	0.7
	Composted biosolids	9.94	5.84	59.49	31.05	0.69	0.88	-5.33	-8.18	57.26	33.02	0.80	0.8
	Control							-31.46	-14.7	33.68	30.86	0.68	0.7
_	Urea	16.54	8.54	23.14	21.88	0.88	0.89	31.33	19.93	22.44	19.71	0.9	0.9
irea	Digested biosolids	-9.59	-8.09	40.18	39.22	0.81	0.82	-10.75	-12.68	34.94	33.79	0.85	0.8
Iont	Alkalized biosolids	21.99	32.5	53.2	49.69	0.69	0.75	11.88	12.99	43.17	40.87	0.76	0.7
Z	Composted biosolids	4.44	8.8	60.09	41.51	0.61	0.81	4.14	2.13	49.80	35.67	0.68	0.8
row	Manure + Inorganic fertilizer							-0.65	22.15	10.49	9.43	0.61	0.7
Harı	Inorganic fertilizer	-11.93	12.28	6.16	5.65	0.62	0.72						

- The model exhibited reasonable performance for simulating corn stover yields at Truro and Montreal and corn at Harrow (rRMSE 4.1 30.1%).
- The improved model better simulated decomposition of organic amendments and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at Montreal and Truro sites.
- Improvements were apparent across all treatments in both the calibration and validation stages across all the sites (Table 1).
- There was marginal improvements over the default version for simulating  $CO_2$  fluxes from manure at Harrow.
- The performance was greatly improved over a previous version of DNDC published in Jiang et al. 2020 - where d values were 0.48 and 0.58 for IF and SCM, respectively.

# Conclusions

- The revised DNDC model better simulated the magnitude and trends in measured CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes across all experimental sites, including the early season fluxes shown by the observations.
- The inclusion of a separate manure pool with user

# Figure 1. New Manure Pool Dynamics Schematic for Improved DNDC

The C decomposition module of the model was revised by separating the organic C amendments into a separate C pool outside of the SOC pools. This new manure C pool adopts the same first order decomposition concepts that define for the default soil C pools except the base decomposition rates and pathway can now be defined by user inputs. The users can directly control the decomposition dynamics of amendments beyond merely adjusting their C:N ratio characteristics while allocating decomposed C from manure and biosolids into labile and resistant fractions while maintaining the default simulation option. The user-defined fraction of resistant manure C helps reduce associated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from slower-decomposing biosolid C additions. This is achieved by allowing biosolid C to decompose directly into the microbial pool, bypassing the model's default stepwise decomposition pathways, which would otherwise route manure C through litter fractions before entering the microbial pool and eventually transitioning to the more stable humads and humus pools. This flexibility enhances the model's ability to simulate the decomposition dynamics and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions evolution of biosolid additions over seasonal and annual timescales.

# References

- Environment and Climate Change Canada. (2023). Environment and Climate Change Canada National Inventory Report 1990–2019: Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada http://www.publications.gc.ca/site/eng/9.506002/publication.html
- Jiang, Q., Qi, Z., Madramootoo, C. A., Smith, W., Abbasi, N. A., & Zhang, T. Q. (2020). Comparison of Rzwqm2 and Dndc Models to Simulate Greenhouse Gas Emissions under Combined Inorganic/Organic Fertilization in A Subsurface-Drained Field. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 63(4), 771–787.
- Obi-Njoku, O., Boh, M. Y., Smith, W., Grant, B., Price, G. W., Hussain, N., Whalen, J. K., & Clark, O. G. (2022). Greenhouse gas emissions following biosolids application to farmland: Estimates from the DeNitrification and DeComposition model. Science of the Total Environment, 823, 153695. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153695</u>.
- 4. Sitienei, R., Qi, Z., Grant, B., Obi-njoku, O., Vanderzaag, A., Yongha, M., Clark, O. G., Price, G., Madramootoo, C., Zhang, T., & Smith, W. (2025). A new framework for simulating C decomposition and emissions from land applied biosolids and manures using the denitrification and decomposition model. *Science of the Total Environment*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.178913.

specified decomposition rates and pathways, along with improved temperature effects on SOC decomposition enabled the model to differentiate the organic biosolid types, significantly improving  $CO_2$  trend simulations.

- Model performance statistics, RMSE and d, were improved for all treatments.
- Overall, this study provides valuable insights into sustainable agricultural practices aimed at optimizing yields while mitigating environmental impacts.
- The validated DNDCv.CAN code will be shared with Canadian research institutions and globally via GitHub.

March 31-April 4, 2025 CIMMYT, El Batan, Mexico





#### Agronomic strategies to mitigate the yellow berry character in durum wheat in the face of input and water scarcity



Pilar Espitia-Hernández <sup>1\*</sup>, José L. Velasco López<sup>1</sup>, Norma A. Ruíz Torres<sup>1</sup>, Xochitl Ruelas Chacón<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Plant Breeding Department, <sup>2</sup>Food Science and Technology Department, Antonio Narro Autonomous Agrarian University, Buenavista, Saltillo, Coahuila. \*Email: <u>pilar.espitia1@gmail.com</u>

#### INTRODUCTION

Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *durum*) is the tenth most cultivated cereal worldwide and plays a fundamental role in the human diet [1]. In Mexico, due to the high costs of nitrogen fertilizers and frequent water shortages for irrigation, the quality of the durum wheat grain is affected due to the yellow berry (YB) character, related to the decrease in grain protein. YB is considered a global problem, with nitrogen shortage being the main cause [2].

#### **OBJECTIVE**

To evaluate the effects of different irrigation and fertilization regimes to minimize yellow berry (YB) content and maximize grain yield and physical quality of durum wheat under field conditions in Mexico.



#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### CONCLUSIONS

Irrigation and fertilization significantly influence the yield and physical quality of wheat grain, including YB. This study highlights the need to identify genotypes and optimize sustainable agronomic management practices that reduce YB content and improve wheat yield and quality, benefiting farmers and society.

#### REFERENCES

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** 



**Fig. 1.** Grain yield (GY) of three wheat genotypes in two locations with different levels of irrigation and fertilization .

**Table 1**. Comparison of grain physical quality in durum wheat genotypes under different irrigation and fertilization conditions at two locations.

	Genotype	Yellowness (b value)	TSW (g)	HW (kg hl⁻¹)	YB Content (%)
Zaragoza	701	22.76 b	41.69 b	76.32 ab	0.86 c
	706	22.49 b	46.73 a	76.55 a	1.72 a
	748	24.39 a	36.63 c	75.94 b	1.27 b
Navidad	701	22.37 b	42.88 b	76.67 a	2.83 b
	706	22.59 ab	46.48 a	77.07 a	1.73 c
	748	23.45 a	37.86 c	75.45 b	4.03 a

Different letters indicate significant differences according to Tukey's multiple comparison test (p < 0.05)

The physical quality variables of both locations were influenced by the effect of the three factors and their interactions.



The highest observed value of YB was 16.77% in the locality of Navidad. Nitrogen deficiency in the soils of some regions of Mexico, along with drought, reduces wheat yield. Furthermore, low nitrogen fertilization, the use of susceptible varieties, and increased irrigation have been reported to favor the presence of YB in durum wheat [3].

Fig. 2. Yellow berry (YB) content of three wheat genotypes at two locations with different irrigation and fertilization levels.

**Navidad:** YB was positively correlated with yellowing (r = 0.77) and negatively correlated with TSW (r = -0.99) and HW (r = -0.97). Validated by Pearson's correlation coefficient ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

[1] Wan, W., Li, L., Diao, M., Lv, Z., Li, W., Wang, J., Li, Z., Jiang, G., Wang, X., Jiang, D., 2023. Border effects enhance lodging resistance of spring wheat in narrowing-row-space enlarged-lateral-space drip irrigation patterns. [2] Ramírez-Wong, B., Rodríguez-Félix, F., Torres-Chávez, P.I., Medina-Rodríguez, C.L., Matus-Barba, E.A., Ledesma-Osuna, A.I., 2014. Effects of nitrogen and irrigation on gluten protein composition and their relationship to "yellow berry" disorder in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), [3] Rodríguez-Félix, F., Ramirez-Wong, B., Torres-Chávez, P. I., Álvarez-Avidés, A., Moreno-Salazar, S., Renteria-Martinez, M. E., & Bello-Perez, L. A. (2014). Yellow berry, protein and agronomic characteristics in bread wheat under different conditions of nitrogen and irrigation in northwest Mexico.